IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 115 of 1986

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

MEHTA INDRAVADAN LALJI JAIN

Versus

OZA MOHANLAL SHANKERLAL

Appearance:

UNSERVED-EXPIRED (N) for Petitioners No. 1,4

NOTICE SERVED for Petitioners No. 2-3

MR JR NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 11/02/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

On the death of Mr. B.H. Mehta, learned advocate for the petitioners, notices were issued to the petitioners. The notice to petitioners no.1 and 4 came

back unserved with the report that they had expired. The petitioners no.2 and 3 have been served but they have not entered fresh appearance. The learned counsel for the respondent states that the respondent too had died on 30.09.1992. In the circumstances, the revision application is dismissed. Rule discharged with no order as to costs.

****

hki